

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE//
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,651	10/550,651 09/26/2005 Renu B La 46135 7590 12/15/2006		Renu B Lal	6395-67675-06	8346
46135				EXA	MINER
KLARQUI	ST SPAI	RKMAN, LLP	KINSEY, NICOLE		
121 S.W. SA SUITE 1600		STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLANI		7204		1648	
			*	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	olication No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			50,651	LAL ET AL.					
			niner	Art Unit					
			e E. Kinsey, Ph.D.	1648					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears o	n the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI risions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE O 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ication. ory period will apply I, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO ne application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 9-26-2005.							
·	•)☐ This action	is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	r allowance ex	cept for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· —									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted of	or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examine	r. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
-/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	0.40)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice (3) Inform	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application								
	No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	·					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-8, 12-13, 17 and 22-23, drawn to an isolated recombinant polyepitope polypeptide comprising amino acid segments from HIV-1 proteins, compositions thereof.

Group II, claims 9-11, 18-21 and 27-28, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding the recombinant polyepitope polypeptide, a vector comprising the nucleic acid, a host cell transformed with the vector, and a composition comprising the nucleic acid.

Group III, claims 14-16 and 24-26, drawn to methods of inhibiting or treating HIV and methods of enhancing an immune response in a subject.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The shared technical feature of Groups I-III is an isolated recombinant polyepitope polypeptide comprising amino acid segments from HIV-1 proteins. Adjacent peptides are linked by a spacer peptide.

This technical feature does not make a contribution over the prior art as evidenced by Duarte et al., and therefore, is not a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2. Duarte et al. discloses a multiepitope polypeptide containing 4 epitopes from HIV-1 and the epitopes are linked by a linker peptide (see entire document, especially Figure 1).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Applicants elect Group I, Applicants are also required to elect one SEQ ID NO of claim 17:

- (a) SEQ ID NO: 2
- (b) SEQ ID NO: 4
- (c) SEQ ID NO: 5
- (d) SEQ ID NO: 6
- (e) SEQ ID NO: 8
- (f) SEQ ID NO: 10

Art Unit: 1648

If Applicants elect Group II, Applicants are also required to elect one SEQ ID NO of claim 19:

(a) SEQ ID NO: 1

(b) SEQ ID NO: 3

(c) SEQ ID NO: 7

(d) SEQ ID NO: 9

Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 17 is generic to the species of Group I, and claim 19 is generic to the species of Group II.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Each amino acid sequence (and each nucleotide sequence) has a unique structure that is different from

the others sequences. Therefore, because there is no shared special technical feature, unity is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicole E. Kinsey, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-9943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Nicole E Kinsey, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1648

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Bure Campell